

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/628,168	07/28/2000	Jong-Chul Choi	Q60267	2947
7:	590 03/12/2004	EXAMINER		
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas PLLC			ALPHONSE, FRITZ	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N W Washington, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2675	11
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004	<i>11</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/628,168	CHOI, JONG-CHUL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Fritz Alphonse	2675			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, m ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum or period will apply and will expire SIX (6) y statute, cause the application to becore	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	09 September 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. 					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	correction is required if the draw	wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received e priority documents have b Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	48) Paper SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	fice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 11			

Application/Control Number: 09/628,168

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okano (JP 04-1999123).

As to claims 1 and 8, Okano (fig. 1) shows a device for enhancing contrast for a liquid crystal display projection system, the contrast enhancing device comprising: an image driver (26) supplying an image signal (note the change of the variable resistor VR of the voltage regulating means 15); an LCD panel (14) for converting the input image signal into an optical image signal (see constitution). In addition, Okano teaches about a controller for lowering brightness of the LCD device (see constitution end portion).

Okano does not explicitly disclose a contrast control portion for controlling an amount of scanned light.

However, this is obvious. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to consider using Okano's brightness controller because lowering the brightness would contribute to a change of contrast in the LCD display plate.

As to claim 8, the claim differs from claim 1 by the additional limitation "a polarizing plate for selectively transmitting the light scanned from said LCD". However, this limitation is disclosed by Okano (see polarizing plates 25a-b, fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/628,168

Art Unit: 2675

íã

3. Claims 2-3, 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okano in view of Helms (U.S. Pat. No. 5,952,992).

As to claims 2-3, Okano (fig. 1) shows a contrast enhancing device, wherein said contrast control portion comprises: a contrast control plate (25a) for controlling an amount of light scanned from said LCD panel

Okano does not explicitly disclose an ABL control signal for controlling the degree of the opening and closing of a contrast control plate.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Helms (fig. 2) shows an LCD brightness controller (212) for controlling the degree of the opening and closing of a contrast control plate.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to add the ABL control of Helms to the brightness control LCD 14 of Okano. Doing so would provide an automatic way to adjust the ambient lighting conditions of the display in which the display device is being used.

As to claims 5-6, Okano (fig. 1) discloses a contrast enhancing device, wherein said contrast control plate is an LCD, and wherein said contrast control plate controls the degree of the opening and closing cells constituting the LCD according to the contrast control signal applied from said contrast controller (see constitution).

As to claim 7, Okano does not teach about a "contrast control signal for controlling said contrast control plate to be closed or opened in correspondence to a difference value if the average level of the image signal is higher than the reference level"

1.

However, Helms (col. 4, lines 64 through col. 5 line 2) has weighted average compared to a Look-up table (reference level). See the motivation above.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fritz Alphonse whose telephone number is (703) 308-8534.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703)308-6606 for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"

Application/Control Number: 09/628,168

Art Unit: 2675

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington. VA. Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

F. Alphonse

Art Unit: 2675

March 5, 2004

STEVEN SARAS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINÉR

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600